

JUL -03' 02 (WED) 15:41

P.002/003  
FAX RECEIVED

JUL 05 2002

GROUP 1600

PATENT

Attorney's Docket No. 35718/201902 (5718-103)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Bidney, et al.  
Appl No.: 09/714,767  
Filed: 11/16/00  
For: Sunflower RHOGAP, LOX, ADH, and SCIP-1 Polynucleotides and Methods of Use

Confirmation No.: 5068  
Group Art Unit: 1638  
Examiner: R. Kallis

OFFICIAL

July 3, 2002

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

This is in response to the Office Action dated June 4, 2002, in which the Examiner has required restriction between Group I (claim 1), Group II (claims 2-4 and 7), Group III (claims 7 and 17-24), Group IV (claims 5, 6, and 8-16). The Examiner has further required Applicants to select no more than one of the individual sequences for examination. Applicants elect Group II (claims 2-4 and 7) as they relate to SEQ ID NO: 3 (the LOX coding sequence) and SEQ ID NO:4 (the LOX polypeptide) without traverse.

Should the Examiner have further questions or comments with respect to examination of this case, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner telephone the undersigned so that further examination of this application can be expedited.

It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required, beyond those, which may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 CFR § 1.136(a), and any fee required therefore (including fees for net addition of claims) is hereby authorized to be charged to Deposit Account No. 16-0605.